1	A Danier Canada 11 - (CCD Na 027025)	
	A. Barry Cappello (CSB No. 037835) Leila J. Noël (CSB No. 114307)	
2	Lawrence J. Conlan (CSB No. 221350)	
3	CAPPELLO & NOËL LLP	
4	831 State Street	
	Santa Barbara, CA 93101-3227	
5	Telephone: (805)564-2444	
6	D 1 (1 N 1 (CGD N 122707)	
7	Robert J. Nelson (CSB No. 132797) Nimish Desai (CSB No. 244953)	
	Wilson M. Dunlavey (CSB No. 307719)	
8	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &	
9	BERNSTEIN, LLP	
10	275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	
	San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
11	Telephone: (415) 956.1000	
12		Juli E. Farris (CSB No. 141716)
13	Lynn Lincoln Sarko (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P .	Matthew J. Preusch (CSB No. 298144) KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
14	1201 Third Ave., Suite 3200	801 Garden Street, Suite 301
	Seattle, WA 98101	Santa Barbara, CA 93101
15	Telephone: (206) 623-1900	Telephone: (805) 456-1496
16		•
17	Attorneys for Individual and	
	Representative Plaintiffs	
18		DISTRICT COLIDT
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	CENTRAL DISTRI	CI OF CALIFORNIA
	GREY FOX, LLC, et al.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-03157-PSG-JEM
21	DI 1 100	
22	Plaintiffs,	DECLADATION OF A DADDY
23	V.	DECLARATION OF A. BARRY CAPPELLO IS SUPPORT OF
	PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE,	PLAINTIFF GREY FOX, LLC'S
24	L.P., et al.,	EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR
25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE
26	Defendants.	
27		

28

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice before the United States District Court, Central District of California. I am a partner at the law firm of Cappello & Noel LLP, court-appointed Class Counsel and Lead Trial Counsel in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and, if called as a witness, I could testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. Plaintiff's counsel conferred in good faith with counsel for Plains regarding the relief this *ex parte* application seeks: a continuance of the trial date by one month, to any convenient date after July 8, 2024. That conferral took place by video meeting on April 11, 2024, and by email on April 12, 2024.
- 3. By email dated Friday, April 12, 2024, counsel for Plains stated that it would not stipulate to continuing the trial date and that it was "prepared to go forward on June 6 on whatever claims remain in the case."
- 4. Plaintiff has thus sought to resolve this conflict by asking counsel for Plains to stipulate to continue the trial. Counsel for Plains did not agree, which necessitated Plaintiff's *ex parte* application to continue the trial date.
- 5. Trial in this matter is set to begin on June 6, 2024, and is expected to last seven days.
- 6. Ex parte relief to continue the trial date is necessary because the relief Plaintiff seeks will be irreparably prejudiced if this request is heard through a regularly noticed motion, because by that time nearly all pretrial work will have been completed and the parties will be preparing for the final pretrial conference on May 24, 2024.
- 7. On Monday, April 15, 2024, Plaintiff's counsel informed counsel for Plains that Plaintiff intended to file an *ex parte* application requesting a continuance of the trial date and asked whether Plains would oppose the relief sought.

8. By email dated April 16, 2024, counsel for Plains stated that it would oppose the ex parte application, without providing a basis for its opposition. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 16th day of April 2024, at Santa Barbara, California. /s/ A. Barry Cappello A. Barry Cappello